

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,968	10/31/2003	Hiap L. Ong	0717.2038-001	8812
21005 7	590 06/29/2005		EXAM	INER
HAMILTON, 530 VIRGINIA	, BROOK, SMITH &	DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A		
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EC

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estancians of time many be available under the proteines of 3 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory priod will part of the reality of the communication of the period for reply that the source destinated period will apply and will eaplor \$100, MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period period for reply shall be sourced period for the period for the statutory period will apply and will eaplor \$100, MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, seen if strength third, may reduce any search of search and strength of the communication. Part of the specification is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)51 is/are pending in the application. 4) Claim(s)51 is/are pending in the application. 5) Claim(s)stare allowed. 6) Claim(s)stare allowed. 6) Claim(s)stare allowed. 7) Claim(s)stare allowed. 8) Claim(s)stare allowed. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 10) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All boll—some * c) None of: 10 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 21 Certified	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Jeanne A. Di Grazio 2871		10/698,968					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extendinos of ten may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled by the period for reply septiments under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the period for reply septiments under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled the period for reply septiments under the mainting of the communication of the period for reply septiment is the think (00) days, a reply vehilla the series of the provision of the period for reply septiment is used to extended period for reply will, by datable, period villagely and will explore (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply whith the set or extended period for reply will, by datable, period villagely and will depty 54 (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. The period of the communication of the communication. The period of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication. The period of the communication of the communication. The period of the communication of the communication. The communication of the	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALINKO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exercisions of time may be available under the proteitions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no overal, however, may a reply be timely filled - Exercisions of time may be available under the proteitions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no overal, however, may a reply be timely filled - Exercisions of time may be available under the proteitions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no overal, however, may a reply be timely filled - If NO period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory period vell apply and vell expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vell apply and vell expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search patient teem adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on		1					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (5) MCRITS from the malling date of this communication. The provision of time may be available under the provision of t	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Status						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448 or PTO/SB/SB/S) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Inflapperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disciosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 7-51 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Profresperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Olimical Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,	a ala alta a na mala ana ant					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/423,621 (Nov. 1, 2002) is claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent Application No. US 2002/0047971 A1 (to Kwon et al.)(Filed: October 4, 2001).

As to claim 1, Kwon is drawn to a pixel structure for a multi-domain vertical alignment mode (MVA) liquid crystal display and manufacturing method. Figure 4A (Second Embodiment) shows a multi-domain display in which the slit pattern of a pixel electrode (48) induces the fringe field effect thereby inducing the multi-domain alignment (Please see Page 4 at [0069])(Applicant's "a liquid crystal display device having a fringe field associated with each pixel being substantially used to control the liquid crystal tilt direction to create multi-domain vertical alignment display").

As to claim 12, the Kwon application is drawn to a color, active matrix device (entire patent).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Application No. US 2002/0047971 A1 (to Kwon et al.)(Filed: October 4, 2001) in view of United States Patent Application No. 2002/0080312 A1 (to Yamaguchi et al.)(Filed: July 26, 2001).

As to claims 2-5 and 14-17, Kwon does not appear to explicitly specify driving methods for the creation of the multi-domain profile.

However, Yamaguchi is drawn to a multi-domain vertical alignment mode liquid crystal display in which a driving substrate is used to create the multi-domain effect (Abstract and entire patent). The device and driving substrate contribute to a display having a wide viewing angle without lowering the effective aperture ratio [0007].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of MVA liquid crystal displays at the time the invention was made to modify Kwon in view of Yamaguchi for a wide viewing angle without lowering the effective aperture ratio [0007].

Application/Control Number: 10/698,968

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 6-8, 18-21, 31-33 and 43-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Application No. US 2002/0047971 A1 (to Kwon et al.)(Filed: October 4, 2001) in view of United States Patent Application No. 2002/0080312 A1 (to Yamaguchi et al.)(Filed: July 26, 2001) and further in view of United States Patent Application No. US 2002/0093618 A1 (to Wu et al.)(Filed: January 23, 2001).

As to claims 6-8, 18-21, 31-33 and 43-46, Kwon does not appear to explicitly specify boundary lines to reduce or eliminate the fringe field from extending into neighboring pixels and a reference voltage maintained at ground potential.

Wu is drawn to a multi-domain vertical alignment mode liquid crystal display in which the pixel structure is configured in such a way to improve domain boundary [0013].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of MVA liquid crystal displays at the time the invention was made to modify Kwon in view of Wu to improve domain boundary [0013].

Claims 9-11, 22-24, 34-36 and 47-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Application No. US 2002/0047971 A1 (to Kwon et al.)(Filed: October 4, 2001) in view of United States Patent Application No. 2002/0080312 A1 (to Yamaguchi et al.)(Filed: July 26, 2001) and further in view of United States Patent 5,528,400 (to Arakawa).

As to claims 9-11, 22-24, 34-36 and 47-49, Kwon does not appear to explicitly specify an optical compensation film of negative birefringence anisotropic type that is uniaxial or biaxial.

However, Arakawa teaches such a compensator in a liquid crystal display device to improve (enlarge) the viewing angle (Abstract and Column 2, Lines 45-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of MVA liquid crystal displays and optical compensation films at the time the invention was made to modify Kwon in view of Arakawa for an enlarged viewing angle.

Claims 13 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Application No. US 2002/0047971 A1 (to Kwon et al.)(Filed: October 4, 2001).

As to claims 13 and 25, the method of creating a multi-domain vertical alignment display would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of MVA liquid crystal displays at the time the invention was made in view of the structure as taught and disclosed in Kwon.

Claims 26-30, 37, 38-42, 50 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent Application No. US 2002/0047971 A1 (to Kwon et al.)(Filed: October 4, 2001) in view of United States Patent Application No. 2002/0080312 A1 (to Yamaguchi et al.)(Filed: July 26, 2001).

As to claims 26-30 and 37, Kwon is drawn to a pixel structure for a multi-domain vertical alignment mode (MVA) liquid crystal display and manufacturing method. Figure 4A (Second Embodiment) shows a multi-domain display in which the slit pattern of a pixel electrode (48) induces the fringe field effect thereby inducing the multi-domain alignment (Please see Page 4 at [0069]). Kwon has a first substrate and a second substrate (42 and 47), a plurality of pixels (though one pixel is illustrated)(pixel 48) and liquid crystal material (46) between the substrates. Kwon is drawn to a color, active matrix display.

Kwon does not appear to explicitly specify driving methods for the creation of the multidomain profile. Application/Control Number: 10/698,968 Page 6

Art Unit: 2871

However, Yamaguchi is drawn to a multi-domain vertical alignment mode liquid crystal display in which a driving substrate is used to create the multi-domain effect (Abstract and entire patent). The device and driving substrate contribute to a display having a wide viewing angle without lowering the effective aperture ratio [0007].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of MVA liquid crystal displays at the time the invention was made to modify Kwon in view of Yamaguchi for a wide viewing angle without lowering the effective aperture ratio [0007].

As to claims 38-42, 50 and 51, the method of creating a multi-domain vertical alignment display would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of MVA liquid crystal displays at the time the invention was made in view of the structure as taught and disclosed in Kwon in view of Yamaguchi.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeanne A. Di Grazio whose telephone number is (571)272-2289. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeanne Andrea Di Grazio Patent Examiner Art Unit 2871

ЛDG

GT. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7